



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,144	0/032,144 12/20/2001		Patrice Roussel	10559-644001 / P12488	3547
20985	7590	7590 01/20/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC				MEONSKE, TONIA L	
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2181	

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/032,144	ROUSSEL, PATRICE	ROUSSEL, PATRICE		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Tonia L. Meonske	2181			

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the co	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 30 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, aff places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must time periods:	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	21 11 10 1 112 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply orig set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing da may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	filed within two months of the date of
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 3 AMENDMENTS 	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially re 	ducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rej	acted claims
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	impliant Amendment (FTOL-324).
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, non-allowable claim(s). 	timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ll be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Nobecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidav was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	distance for all accounts to a constant
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in See Continuation Sheet. 	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)
13. Other:	
1	
Jeans Ja - 1/17/06	HENRY W. H. TSAI
lenne /17/06	PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 011206

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

On Pages 3-6, Applicant argues in essence:

"At no point does Sidwell describe that any of the zip, unzip or flip instructions cause any of the bits placed into the destination register to be duplicated."

However, Sidwell has taught a zip instruction duplicating bits placed in a destination register. Figure 17 shows the V2 bits are replicated in a destination memory via a zip instruction. Merriam-Webster's Online Dictionary defines replicate as duplicate. Therefore, Sidwell has in fact taught a zip instruction duplicating, or replicating, bits placed in the destination register. The V2 bits placed in the destination register are duplicated via the zip2n4v2p instruction. Therefore this argument is moot.